G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22 7 14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907-08
~~191~~ .
(Madras)

File No. 163

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

In re the alleged unauthorized alienation of the Jodi village of Chickobanahalli, Devanhalli Taluk to the Hunsamarnahalli Matt.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 163-07

Subject.

In re the alleged unauthorized alienation of the Jodi Village of Lokikobanahalli, Devanahalli Taluk to the Hansamanahalli matt.

From

The Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Dist

No. 68 Dated 9th December 1907.

"Slip S

Rudra Vaderu son of Nanjunda Vaderu petitioner the Secretary to Govt. Genl and Rev Departments stating that the Jodi Village of Lokikobanahalli in the Devanahalli Taluk was granted to his grand-father Mahantana Vaderu for feeding the jangams, ~~that~~ ~~this~~ ~~Village~~ ~~has~~ ~~been~~ ~~unlawfully~~ ~~taken~~ ~~possession~~ ~~of~~ ~~by~~ ~~the~~ ~~Jangam~~ ~~matt~~ ~~at~~ ~~Hansamannahalli~~, and that it has been in the enjoyment of his family for a long time past He prays that the Village may be restored to him ...

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

Sadda that if there has been a failure of the conditions of the grant, the Jadi Village should have been resumed to Govt The petition which was forwarded to this office was referred to the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore Distt and that Officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the records of enquiry

The Dy Commissioner states that (Paper under disposal)
the Doddaballapur Sub Division Officer after due enquiry into the matter reported (Slip T)
that the petitioner Rudra Vaderu had no other records to establish his claims beyond a Sannad giving Lakkikolanahalli Slip U (copy of the
and 6 other Villages individually to his Sannad).
ancestors and others and a Jahid issued by the Jahir authorities reiterating the terms of the Sannad, that the terms of these documents had been set aside or modified by subsequent Kanars and Mutchalikas executed in favor of the matt by the petitioner's ancestors; and that the references produced by Lokemahasiva-

Vaderu

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

the present Agent of the Hunsomanna-halli matt showed that all the seven villages then granted were for the benefit of the matt, and that the title holders were only nominal managers. The Divisional Officer further reports that from the Sannad issued in the year Vikrama, Rudra Vadem was precluded from interfering in the affairs of the village as it was granted for the benefit of the matt and further that Rudra Vadem had stood as a surety for the fulla amount when the village was once leased out to Ryots by the Hunsomannahalli matt. It is further reported that in the year 1858, Chennamalla Vadem uncle of Rudra Vadem was prohibited by the then Amildar from interfering

slip V (vide copy of the Sannad)

slip W (copy).

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

The Hunsomarnahalli matt's enjoyment of Chickobanahalli; and that the documents produced by the Agent of the Hunsomarnahalli matt clearly go to show that it was a Devadaya Inam granted to the matt

For the above reasons, the Divisional Officer states that Rudra Vader's takarar is untenable and that there is no objection to the village being made over to the matt The Dy Commissioner does not furnish his own opinion on the subject

Finial orders about this village have already been passed with the approval of the late Dewan & the late II Councillor (vide Printed Pro: No 4004-7 & 17 dt March 1906) ~~Measure Enfd Officials and Revenue Dept~~ the Muzrai Dept order ~~dated~~ above ref^d to was based

Muz 8A1

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

upon the orders previously issued in the Gl & Rev: Dept - vide their order No. R 4170-73/LR 171-04-2 dy 14th Nov: 1904

The present Review application is against the foregoing order:

May the Petn and the Dy Comm's present reference No 68 dy 9th Dec: 1907 together with its enclosures, be transferred to the Gl & Rev: Secretariat for disposal?

Submitted 9.1.08

10/1/08

Dft Submitted. AO 10/1/08.

The petition and the Dy Comm's report were forwarded for disposal in the General and Revenue Sectt.

A copy of endorsement rejecting the review application of Sidda Wabera has been received. no action seems

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 . Muzrai Secretariat.

erial
No.

necessary.

submitted for orders

23/4 09

7

Submitted

No action appears to be necessary. If directed, file will be filed

Inam Superintendent's Office,

Dated Bangalore 9/10th June 1904.

From,

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a file of papers and records as per accompanying Pairist regarding the transfer of Kempalingapura village in the Devanahalli Taluk and the present holder Siddaiya to the Kunsamaranahalli Matt in the Devanahalli Taluk and to state that it is claimed that the village should have been settled for the Kunsamaranahalli Matt. There is no Matt by name Sidoe Wodeyar in the village. The only Matt in the Taluk is that of Kunsamaranahalli Matt. It seems but right that the management should be transferred to the Kunsamaranahalli Matt, considering that the present object is one of religious character and also the fact that all the seven villages have been granted for the support of the Kunsamaranahalli Matt as can be seen by exhibit D in the file. I respectfully solicit the orders of Government.

2. A copy of the Inam Register is also enclosed.

I have &c.

Sd/- J. P. Grant.

Superintendent of Inam Settlements,
in Mysore.

"Copy".

The original of this and the Muzrai- other have been retained in the Branch, to make the Kunsamaranahalli Matt file (38-1904) complete.

No. 8/ (En: Records).

Inam Superintendent's Office

Bangalore, Dated 7/8th July 1905.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In returning herewith, the enclosures received with your docket No. R 12957/L.R.b 15-04-2, dated 24th June 1905 I have the honour to state that the marginally noted seven villages were granted by His Highness the late Maharaja, Krishna Raj Wadeir Bahadur, in one and the same grant, on the Hunsumaranahalli Mahzar in the names of certain Priests Of these, Pura and Hunsumaranahalli were granted as Devadaya at the time of Settlement, Siddatta which had been settled as Inam at the settlement was made Devadaya by the subsequent Government Proceedings No. R 81/04-05/L.R. 111-04-5, dated 2nd November 1904. Chikkobanahalli though termed as Devadaya, is assessed with quit-rent and treated as personal. It was also converted into Devadaya as per decision in appeal case No. 28, of 1903-04 received with Secretary's letter No. 131-/E.F. 111-04, dated 2nd April 1904. Likewise Veera Gollahalli or otherwise Gollahalli was re-settled as Devadaya and is in the enjoyment of the same. It is presumed that no action necessary is in connection of the village Kamalinganpura for the last, Kamalinganpura is the village in question, regarding which, Government ordered the resumption. Hosahalli Vadeerahalli, in reference to the correspondence ending with the letter No. 121, dated 10th June 1905.

2. [illegible] registers of the grant [illegible] a Pura, Hunsumaranahalli and Lingapa Veera Gollahalli villages [illegible] selected for resumption.

I have &c.

Sd/- [illegible].

Superintendent [illegible]

"Copy"

No. R 4594/LR 375-07-8

By order

(sd) K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar

Secretary

Encl. papers sent with 21027.

ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ L-163-07-4

Copy to Mysuru Branch with Enc.

for Secretary.

No. 43
Enc.

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 8th December 1910.

D — 1810

From

D. Shama Rao, Esq.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr. B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the original inam Registers of the marginally-noted villages, forwarded with this office letter No: 8 dated 7th July 1905, may be kindly returned as they are required for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D Shama Rao
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Devanahalli Taluk.
1. Hunganamakalli
2. Sugatur
3. Gollahalli
4. Chikka Bamahalli
5. Para.

GPL—300—2, 9 10

1910.

From

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 8th
Despatched 9th } December 1910

No. 43.
Enc.

SUBJECT.

Requests the return of certain original Inam Registers forwarded with this office letters 8 dated 7th July 1905.

G.R.S. 29A]

29-4-1912

190

From

Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To The Inam Supt.

Dated in Mysore.

Despatched 6/4/11

Received

General No. 7930
No. 24

ENCLOSURE No. 5

Has the honor to return herewith the marginally noted original Inam Registers as requested in his letter No 43, dt 8.12.1910.

2 The favor of an acknowledgement is requested

By order,

Sd/- M
29/4/11
for Secy.

(1) Hunsamaranahalli
(2) Kuggatta.
(3) Gollahalli
(4) Chikobanahalli.
(5) Pura

Sd/-
1/5/11

GPB—10,000—9-4-06.

No. 91

Enc. —

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 16th May 1911

From

D. Shama Rao, Esq.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq B.A.

Offg. Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the five original Inam Registers forwarded with your docket No. 2830/Dep dated 6th May 1911.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
D. Shama Rao
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

GPb—300—2 9 10

From

The Superintendent,
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 16th May 1911

Despatched

No. 91

Enc. "

SUBJECT.

Acknowledges the receipt of five Origina Inam Register Sheets.

B.R.
Rafes
under
1915